

The covariant derivative

To differentiate tensors we need a **connection**.

Defⁿ: - Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a v.b. A **connection** on E is a map

$$\nabla: \mathcal{F}(M) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E) \text{ s.t.}$$

- 1) $\nabla_X Y$ is $C^\infty(M)$ -linear in X .
- 2) $\nabla_X Y$ is \mathbb{R} -linear in Y .
- 3) For $f \in C^\infty(M)$, ∇ satisfies the Leibniz rule
$$\nabla_X (fY) = X(f)Y + f \nabla_X Y.$$

$\nabla_X Y$ is the covariant derivative of Y in the direction of X .

∇ on E is completely determined by its Christoffel symbols Γ_{ij}^k which in local coordinates can be defined as

$$\nabla_{\partial_i} E_j = \Gamma_{ij}^k E_k.$$

Lemma: - If TM is the tangent bundle then we can define connections on all tensor bundles $\Gamma_\ell^k(M)$ s.t.

1. $\nabla_x f = X(f)$.
2. $\nabla_x (F \otimes G) = (\nabla_x F) \otimes G + F \otimes (\nabla_x G)$.
3. $\nabla_x (\text{tr } Y) = \text{tr}(\nabla_x Y)$. for all traces over any index of Y .

In local coordinates

$$(\nabla_x F) = \left(\nabla_p F_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_l} \right) \partial_{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \partial_{j_l} \otimes dx^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_k} \chi^p$$

and also

$$\nabla_p F_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_l} = \partial_p F_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_l} + \sum_{s=1}^l F_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_{s-1} q \dots j_l} \Gamma_{pq}^{j_s} - \sum_{s=1}^k F_{i_1 \dots i_{s-1} q \dots i_k}^{j_1 \dots j_l} \Gamma_{p i_s}^q$$

Defn Gradient

Let $f \in C^\infty(M)$. $df \in \Gamma(T^*M)$

$(df)^\# \in \Gamma(TM)$ is called the gradient of f w.r.t. g and is denoted by ∇f .

in local coordinates, $df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} dx^j$

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla f) &= (\nabla f)^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \\ &= \left(g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\end{aligned}$$

Example S^2 w/ spherical coordinates.

metric on S^2 , $g = (d\phi)^2 + \sin^2\phi (d\theta)^2$
in these coordinates.

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} g^{\theta\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} g^{\phi\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \\ &+ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} g^{\theta\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} g^{\phi\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\end{aligned}$$

and $g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right) = 1$, $g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right) = 0$

$$g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right) = \sin^2\phi$$

The Levi-Civita Connection

Let (M, g) Riemann. m.fld.

Defn A connection ∇ on TM is said to be compatible with g if

$$\nabla g = 0.$$

(g is parallel)

$$\text{If } \nabla g = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla_x g = 0 \quad \forall x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\nabla_x g)(y, z) = 0 \quad \forall y, z,$$

$$\Leftrightarrow X(g(y, z)) - g(\nabla_x y, z) - g(y, \nabla_x z) = 0$$

In local coordinates,

$$\left(\frac{\nabla_{\partial} g}{\partial x^k} \right)_{ij} = \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} - \Gamma_{ki}^l g_{lj} - \Gamma_{kj}^l g_{li}$$

$$\therefore \nabla g = 0 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} = \Gamma_{ki}^l g_{lj} + \Gamma_{kj}^l g_{li} \quad \forall i, j, k$$

Recall \Rightarrow The torsion T^∇ of a connection

∇ on TM is

$$T^\nabla(x, y) = \nabla_x y - \nabla_y x - [x, y]$$

Thm [Fundamental Theorem of Riemannian Geometry]

Let (M^n, g) be Riemann. Then $\exists!$ Connection ∇ that is both metric compatible and torsion-free. ∇ is called the Levi-Civita connection.

Proof \Rightarrow We'll show that it must be unique if it exists, by deriving a formula for it (Koszul formula).

Let $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$

$$X(g(Y, Z)) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z)$$

$$X(g(X, Z)) = g(\nabla_X X, Z) + g(X, \nabla_X Z)$$

$$y(g(x, z)) = g(\nabla_y z, x) + g(z, \nabla_y x)$$

$$z(g(y, x)) = g(\nabla_z y, x) + g(y, \nabla_z x)$$

$$\text{and } \because T^\nabla = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla_x y - \nabla_y x = [x, y]$$

$$\nabla_z x - \nabla_x z = [z, x]$$

$$\nabla_y z - \nabla_z y = [y, z]$$

so we get

$$x(g(y, z)) + y(g(x, z)) - z(g(x, y))$$

$$= 2g(\nabla_x y, z) + g(y, [x, z]) + g(z, [y, x]) \\ - g(x, [z, y])$$

$$\Rightarrow g(\nabla_x y, z) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\begin{aligned} &x(g(y, z)) + y(g(x, z)) \\ &+ z(g(x, y)) \\ &- g(y, [x, z]) - \\ &g(z, [y, x]) + g(x, [z, y]) \end{aligned} \right]$$

So $\nabla_X Y$ is determined uniquely.

Define ∇ by this formula and show that ∇ is compatible and torsion free.

• in local coordinates, the Christoffel symbols of ∇^{LC} are (for $X = \partial_i$
 $Y = \partial_j$
 $Z = \partial_k$)

$$\Gamma_{ij}^m g_{mk} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} g_{jk} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} g_{ik} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} g_{ij} \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} \left[\frac{\partial g_{il}}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial g_{jl}}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^l} \right]$$

We'll use this formula frequently.

Orientation

If M is orientable, then a choice of such a cover (or equivalently, a choice of nowhere-zero n -form) is called an orientation for M .

Such a form μ is called a volume form on M . Two volume forms $\mu, \tilde{\mu}$ corresponding to the same orientation $\Leftrightarrow \mu = f \tilde{\mu}$

for some $f \in C^\infty(M)$ s.t. f is everywhere positive.

Let M be orientable and have k -connected components then $\exists 2^k$ orientations on M .

If M^n is oriented, compact, we can integrate n -forms on M . $\int_M \omega \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\omega \in \Omega^n(M)$$

Stokes' Theorem

$$\text{If } \partial M = \emptyset$$

$$\text{then } \int_M d\sigma = 0$$

$$\text{If } F: M \xrightarrow{\text{diffeo}} N$$

$$\omega \in \Omega^n(N) \Rightarrow F^*\omega \in \Omega^n(M)$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\int_M F^*\omega = \int_{N=F(M)} \omega}$$

Defⁿ :- A manifold w/ volume form is an oriented mfd M together w/ a particular choice μ (representative of the equivalence-class of the orientation).

If M is compact then we can integrate functions on M by defining

$$\int_M f := \int_M f \mu$$

whose value depends on the choice of μ

Let (M, μ) be a manifold w/ volume form
 Define the divergence $\text{div} : \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$
linear

$$\begin{aligned} \text{by } \mathcal{L}_X \mu &= d(X \lrcorner \mu) + \underbrace{X \lrcorner d\mu}_{=0} \\ &= (\text{div } X) \mu \end{aligned}$$

(depends on μ)

Notice :- $\operatorname{div} X = 0 \Leftrightarrow \int_X \mu = 0$

$$\Leftrightarrow \theta_t^* \mu = \mu \quad \text{where}$$

θ_t is the flow of X .

$\Leftrightarrow \mu$ is invariant under flow
of X .

If M compact,

$$\operatorname{vol}(M) = \int_M 1 = \int_M 1 \cdot \mu$$

Suppose $\operatorname{div} X = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\int_{\theta_t(M)} \mu = \operatorname{vol}(\theta_t(M)) = \int_M \theta_t^* \mu = \int_M \mu = \operatorname{vol}(M)$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{vol}(\theta_t(M)) = \operatorname{vol}(M)$$

∴ flow of a divergence-free v.f. preserves the volume.

Divergence Theorem

Let $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, (M, μ) be compact

then $\int_M (\operatorname{div} X) = 0$ as

$$\int_M (\operatorname{div} X) \mu = \int_M d(X \lrcorner \mu) = 0 \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{by Stokes'} \\ \text{Thm.} \end{array}$$

Let (M, g) be an oriented Riemannian manifold. Then \exists a canonical volume form μ on (M, g) defined by the requirement that

$$\mu(e_1, \dots, e_n) = 1 \quad \text{whenever } \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$$

is an oriented orthonormal basis of $(T_p M, g_p)$.
i.e., given a local oriented o.n. frame for
 M $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$,

$$\mu = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n$$

$\mu = \sqrt{\det g} \, dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$ in any
local coordinates (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) .

• Divergence theorem holds for any manifold

w/ volume \Rightarrow also holds for oriented
Riemann. vol. form and symplectic manifolds.

Curvature of the Levi-Civita connection

We call R , as the Riemann curvature tensor of

g .

$$\begin{aligned} R(x, y)Z &= \nabla_x \nabla_y Z - \nabla_y \nabla_x Z - \nabla_{[x, y]} Z \\ &= -R(y, x)Z \end{aligned}$$

Remark :- $R^\nabla = 0$ and $T^\nabla = 0$ iff
 \exists local parallel coordinate

frames.

One defⁿ of being flat for any connection
is $R^\nabla = 0$

and for a Riem. mfld we defined flat
as "locally isometric" to (\mathbb{R}^n, \hat{g}) .

For the Riemannian curvature of Levi-Civita
conn, the two notions of flatness are the

same.

Symmetries of R

$$R(x, y, z, w) := g(R(x, y)z, w)$$

↓

(4,0) tensor obtained from (3,1) R by musical isomorphisms.

$$R(\partial_i, \partial_j) \partial_k = R_{ijk}^l \partial_l$$

$$R(\partial_i, \partial_j, \partial_k, \partial_m) = R_{ijklm}$$

$$R_{ijklm} = R_{ijk}^l g_{lm}$$

Prop :-

a) $R(x, y, z, w) = -R(y, x, z, w)$

b) $R(x, y, z, w) = -R(x, y, w, z)$

c) $R(x, y, z, w) + R(y, z, x, w) + R(z, x, y, w)$

$$= 0$$

$$d) R(x, x, z, \omega) = R(z, \omega, x, x).$$

a) is always true and w/ b) allows us to see $R \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 TM \otimes \Lambda^2 TM)$ i.e., as a symmetric bilinear forms on the space of 2-forms.

b) follows from metric compatibility, $\nabla g = 0$

c) is true for any torsion free connection on TM . It is called the First Bianchi

identity.

d) follows from a), b) and c).

Proof :-> a) done

b) since $\nabla g = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$Y(g(z, z)) = 2g(\nabla_Y z, z)$$

$$X(Y(g(z, z))) = 2X(g(\nabla_Y z, z))$$

$$= 2g(\nabla_X \nabla_Y z, z) \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

$$+ 2g(\nabla_y z, \nabla_x z)$$

$$y(x(g(z, z))) = 2g(\nabla_y z, \nabla_x z) + 2g(z, \nabla_y \nabla_x z) - \textcircled{2}$$

$$[x, y](g(z, z)) = 2g(z, \nabla_{[x, y]} z) - \textcircled{3}$$

$$\textcircled{1} + \textcircled{2} - \textcircled{3}$$

$$x(y(g(z, z))) - y(x(g(z, z))) - [x, y](g(z, z)) = 0$$

$$= 2R(x, y, z, z) = 0$$

\Rightarrow polarize to get (b).

c) Want to show that

$$R(x, y)z + R(y, z)x + R(z, x)y = 0$$

expand and use torsion-free. and use

Jacobi identity for $[\cdot, \cdot]$.

d) Write identity (c) in 4 ways.

Sectional Curvature

Let (M, g) be Riemann.

Given $X_p, Y_p \in T_p M$

$$|X_p \wedge Y_p|_{g_p}^2 = |X_p|_{g_p}^2 |Y_p|_{g_p}^2 - g_p(X_p, Y_p)^2$$

$$|X \wedge Y|^2 = |X|^2 |Y|^2 - \langle X, Y \rangle^2$$

Defⁿ :- Let L_p be a 2-dimensional subspace of $T_p M$ ($n \geq 2$). Define the sectional curvature $K_p(L_p)$ of (M, g) at \underline{p} in

" L_p direction" by

$$K_p(L_p) = \frac{R(X_p, Y_p, Y_p, X_p)}{|X_p \wedge Y_p|^2}$$

for any basis X_p, Y_p of L_p .

(denom. not zero as X_p, Y_p are basis).

$$\text{if } \tilde{X} = aX + bY$$

$$\tilde{Y} = cX + dY$$

$$\tilde{X} \wedge \tilde{Y} = (ad - bc) X \wedge Y$$

show that

$$\frac{R(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{X})}{|\tilde{X} \wedge \tilde{Y}|^2} = \frac{R(X, Y, Y, X)}{|X \wedge Y|^2}$$

$$\text{if } n=2, L_p = T_p M \quad \forall p \in M$$

\Rightarrow sectional curvature is just a smooth

function on M .

Lemma:- The sectional curvature determines the Riemann curvature and vice-versa.

Precisely, suppose V^n ($n \geq 2$) is a \mathbb{R} -inner product space and R and \tilde{R} be two trilinear maps s.t.

$\langle R(x, y, z), w \rangle$ and $\langle \tilde{R}(x, y, z), w \rangle$ are skew in x, y , skew in y, z and satisfy 1st Bianchi identity.

Let $x, y \in V$ be linearly independent.

Let $\sigma = \text{span} \{x, y\}$

Define
$$K(\sigma) = \frac{\langle R(x, y, y), x \rangle}{|x \wedge y|^2}$$

$$\tilde{K}(\sigma) = \langle \tilde{R}(x, y, y), x \rangle$$

$$\frac{|x \wedge y|^2}{|x \wedge y|^2}$$

if $K = \tilde{K} \quad \forall \sigma \subseteq V$ then $R = \tilde{R}$.

lemma let V be a real vector space w/
 $\dim V \geq 2$ and R and \tilde{R} be trilinear maps
 $V \times V \times V \rightarrow V$ satisfying

$$\langle R(x, y, z), w \rangle = (x, y, z, w)$$

$$\langle \tilde{R}(x, y, z), w \rangle = (x, y, z, w)^\sim$$

have the following symmetries :-

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y, z, w) &= -(y, x, z, w) = -(x, y, w, z) \\ &= (z, w, x, y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } (x, y, z, w) + (y, z, x, w) + (z, x, y, w) = 0$$

same for \sim .

let x, y be linearly independent. let $\sigma = \text{span}\{x, y\}$

$$\text{define } K(\sigma) = \frac{(x, y, y, x)}{|x \wedge y|^2}$$

$$\widehat{K}(\sigma) = \frac{(x, y, y, x)^{\sim}}{|x \wedge y|^2}$$

If $\widehat{K}(\sigma) = K(\sigma) \quad \forall$ 2-dimensional subspace
 $\sigma \subseteq V$ then $\widehat{R} = R$.

Proof By hypo. $(x, y, y, x) = (x, y, y, x)^{\sim}$
 $\forall x, y$.

polarize $(x+y, z, z, x+y) = (x+y, z, z, x+y)^{\sim}$

$$\Rightarrow (x, z, z, y) + (y, z, z, x) = (x, z, z, y)^{\sim} + (y, z, z, x)^{\sim}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2(x, z, z, y) = 2(x, z, z, y)^{\sim}$$

By symmetry

$$\Rightarrow (x, z, z, y) = (x, z, z, y)^{\sim}$$

polarize again, $z \mapsto z + w$

$$(x, z, w, y) + (x, w, z, y) =$$

$$(x, z, w, y)^{\sim} + (x, w, z, y)^{\sim}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \underbrace{(x, z, \omega, y) - (x, z, \omega, y)^{\sim}} &= \\ &= - (x, \omega, z, y) + (x, \omega, z, y)^{\sim} \\ &= (\omega, x, z, y) - (\omega, x, z, y)^{\sim} \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \sim$ is invariant under cyclic permutation

$$x \mapsto z \mapsto \omega \mapsto x$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \sum_{\substack{x, y, z \\ \text{cyclic}}} (x, z, \omega, y) - (x, z, \omega, y)^{\sim} &= \\ &= 3(x, z, \omega, y) - 3(x, z, \omega, y)^{\sim} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 - 0 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (x, z, \omega, y) = (x, z, \omega, y)^{\sim}$$

\square

2nd Bianchi Identity

Let (M, g) be Riemannian and R be the

Riemannian $(4,0)$ tensor. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_u R)(x, y, v, w) + (\nabla_v R)(x, y, w, u) \\ & + (\nabla_w R)(x, y, u, v) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

To prove this, let $p \in M$ be arbitrary and choose Riemannian normal coordinates centered at

p . $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \right\}$ is a local frame

$$g_{ij}(p) = \delta_{ij}$$

$$\left(\nabla_{\partial_i} \partial_j \right)_p = \Gamma_{ij}^k(p) \partial_k \Big|_p = 0$$

let x, y, u, v, w be $\partial_i, \partial_j, \partial_k, \partial_l, \partial_m$

now

$$\left(\nabla_u R \right)(x, y, v, w) \stackrel{\text{defn}}{=} U(R(x, y, v, w))$$

$$-R(\nabla_u X, Y, V, W)$$

$$- \dots - R(X, Y, V, \nabla_u W)$$

But $\nabla_u X, \dots, \nabla_u W = 0$ at p in normal coordinates

$$\Rightarrow \text{at } p, (\nabla_u R)(X, Y, V, W) = U(R(X, Y, V, W))$$

now

$$U(R(X, Y, V, W)) = U(g(R(X, Y)V, W))$$

$$= U(g(\nabla_x \nabla_y V - \nabla_y \nabla_x V - \underbrace{\nabla_{[X, Y]} V}_{{=0 \text{ as coordinate v.f.}}}, W))$$

metric compatibility

$$\begin{aligned} &= g(\nabla_u \nabla_x \nabla_y V, W) - g(\nabla_u \nabla_y \nabla_x V, W) \\ &\quad - g(\nabla_x \nabla_y V - \nabla_y \nabla_x V, \underbrace{\nabla_u W}_{{=0 \text{ at } p}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\nabla_u R)(X, Y, V, W)(p) = U(R(X, Y, V, W))(p)$$

$$= U(R(V, W, X, Y), W)(P)$$

$$= g(\nabla_U \nabla_V \nabla_W X, Y)(P)$$

$$- g(\nabla_U \nabla_W \nabla_V X, Y)(P)$$

now cyclically permute U, V and W and

then add to get the 2nd Bianchi identity.

□

Remark :- If d^∇ is the exterior covariant derivative then the 2nd Bianchi identity is $d^\nabla R = 0$. (true for any connection on any vector bundle).

Other notions of curvature from Rm

Aside :- let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an IPS and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis.

$A: V \rightarrow V$ be a linear map.

$$Ae_i = A_i^j e_j. \text{ Then } \text{Tr}(A) = A_i^i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Notice

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ij} \langle Ae_i, e_j \rangle &= g^{ij} \langle A_i^l e_l, e_j \rangle \\ &= g^{ij} A_i^l g_{lj} = A_i^i = \text{tr}(A) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\boxed{\text{tr}(A) = g^{ij} \langle Ae_i, e_j \rangle}$$

more generally if B_{ij} is a bilinear form

Define $\text{Tr}_g(B) = g^{ij} B_{ij}.$

let (M, g) Riemannian and fix $X_p, Y_p \in T_p M$

define $A_p: T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$ be

$$A_p(z_p) = R(z_p, X_p)Y_p$$

$$\text{Pr}(A_p) = g(A_p e_i, e_j) g^{ij}$$

for any basis e_1, \dots, e_n of $T_p M$.

$$= g(R(e_i, X_p)Y, e_j) g^{ij}$$

$$= R(e_i, X_p, Y_p, e_j) g^{ij}$$

Defⁿ The Ricci tensor of g is the $(2,0)$ tensor Ric defined

$$\text{Ric}(X, Y) = g^{ij} R(e_i, X, Y, e_j)$$

for any local frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$

in local coordinates

$$\text{Ric} = R_{jk} dx^j \otimes dx^k \quad \text{where}$$

$$R_{jk} = R_{ijkl} g^{il}$$

Remark :- Ricci is symmetric.

Exercise:- Prove the previous remark.

What is the meaning of Ric?

Ric is determined by polarization from its associated quadratic form

$$q_r(x) = \text{Ric}(x, x).$$

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a local o-n-frame

$$\text{Ric}(e_i, e_i) = g^{kl} R(e_k, e_i, e_i, e_l)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{o.n.}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n R(e_k, e_i, e_i, e_k)$$

$$= \sum_{k \neq i}^n R(e_k, e_i, e_i, e_k)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} (e_i, e_i)^2 - \frac{1}{2} (e_i, e_i)^2$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ R \neq i}}^n \mathcal{K}(e_R \wedge e_i)$$

↓

sectional curvature

↘ 2-plane spanned
by e_R and e_i

Thus $\text{Ric}(e_i, e_i)$ is $(n-1)$ (average of all sectional curvatures of 2-planes containing e_i .)

Scalar Curvature

$$R = \text{Tr}_g(\text{Ric}) = g^{ij} R_{ij}$$

So R is a smooth function on M .

$$R = n \text{ (average of Ricci curvature)}$$

Special Cases :-

$$n=1 : R_{ijkl} = 0$$

$$n=2 : \text{Ricci} , R_{jk} = g^{il} R_{ijkl}$$

$$R_{11} = g^{il} R_{i11l} = g^{22} R_{2112} = g^{22} R_{1221}$$

$$R_{22} = g^{il} R_{i22l} = g^{11} R_{1221} = g^{11} R_{1221}$$

$$R_{12} = g^{il} R_{i12l} = g^{12} R_{2121} = -g^{12} R_{1221}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Scalar} , R &= g^{11} R_{11} + 2g^{12} R_{12} + g^{22} R_{22} \\ &= 2(g^{11}g^{22} - (g^{12})^2) R_{1221} \\ &= 2 R_{1221} \cdot \det(g^{-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\det(g)} 2 R_{1221} = 2K \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \text{ for } n=2 \quad \boxed{R = 2K}$$

Defⁿ (M, g) is called Einstein if \exists
 $\lambda \in C^\infty(M)$ s.t

$$\boxed{\text{Ric} = \lambda g}$$

Suppose (M, g) is Einstein. Then

$$R = g^{ij} R_{ij} = g^{ij} \lambda g_{ij} = n \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{R}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\text{Ric} = \frac{R}{n} g}$$

We'll see examples of Einstein metrics.

Special case :- $\text{Ric} = 0$ or Ricci-flat.

Aside :- In GR, the natural equation is

$$\underbrace{\text{Ric} - \frac{R}{2} g}_{G} = T - \text{prescribed RHS}$$

\hookrightarrow stress-energy tensor

$G =$ Einstein tensor

$$\text{Suppose } \mathcal{T} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Ric} = R/2 g$$

tracing \Rightarrow

$$R = \frac{nR}{2} \Rightarrow n \neq 2 \Rightarrow R = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Ric} = 0.$$

\therefore if $n > 2$ and $\mathcal{T} = 0$ then M must be Ricci flat.

Exe. Prove the following:-

- ① $\nabla_\alpha R_{\beta j m \kappa} = \nabla_\kappa R_{\beta j m} - \nabla_m R_{\beta j \kappa}$
- ② $\text{div}(Rc) = \frac{1}{2} dR.$

Lemma:- Diagonalize R on (M^3, g) w.r.t. basis $\{e_2 \wedge e_3, e_3 \wedge e_1, e_1 \wedge e_2\}$ of $\wedge^2 T M^3$ w/ $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$

an o.n.b. of $T M$. Suppose that w.r.t. basis R is a diagonal matrix w/ entries $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$. Then w.r.t. $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ we have

$$R_c = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_3 + \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the scalar curvature $R = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3$.

Proof. Exercise

Lemma :- Let (M^n, g) be an Einstein manifold w/ $n \geq 3$. Then M has constant scalar curvature. If $n=3$ the g has constant sectional curvature.

Proof. exercise

Defn Constant curvature metrics.

\mathbb{R}^n w/ Euclidean metric has constant sec. curvature 0.

$S_R^n = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, |x|=R \}$ w/ the round metric has

constant sectional curvature $\frac{1}{R^2}$.

H_R^n , the hyperbolic space of radius R which is an open ball of radius R in \mathbb{R}^n w/ the metric

$$g_{ij}(x) = \frac{4R^4}{(1 - |x|^2)^2} \delta_{ij}$$

$$(R^2 - |x|^2)^2$$

has constant curvature $-1/R^2$.

Any complete, simply connected Riemannian n -fold w/
constant sectional curvature is isometric to one
of the above depending on the sign.